Interview Summary

Application No. 09/997,447 Applicant(s)

Shapira et al.

Examiner

Phyllis G. Spivack

Art Unit 1614

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):	
(1) Phyllis G. Spivack	(3)
(2) Frank C. Eisenshenk, Ph.D.	(4)
Date of Interview Aug 28, 2003	
Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference c) Personal [copy is given to 1) applicant	2) applicant's representative]
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes	e) 🗵 No. If yes, brief description:
Claim(s) discussed: 6-10 and 19-29 Identification of prior art discussed:	
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Dr. Eisenshenk stated Applicants have suggested the initial action is directed to the subject matter of claim 6, promoting wound healing comprising administering the elected species, topiramate.	
Dr. Eisenshenk was informed the references for all five Information Disclosure Statements are unavailable.	
	ndments which the examiner agreed would render the claims copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is
i) 🛛 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sepa	arate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).
INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MI already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FR	MAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST PEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has ROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE ord of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Phyllis Spivack PRIMARY EXAMINER

Examiner's signature, if required